



by KEVIN
NICHOLSON, CFA

THE WRITING TEAM

ADAM GROSSMAN, CFA
Global Equity CIO | Partner

CHRIS KONSTANTINOS, CFA
Managing Partner |
Chief Investment Strategist

KEVIN NICHOLSON, CFA
Global Fixed Income CIO | Partner

ROD SMYTH
Chairman of the Board of Directors

DAN ZOLET, CFA
Associate Portfolio Manager

SUMMARY

- While the President believes the Fed should be fiscal policy dependent, the Fed has resisted political influence.
- The bond market views Fed independence as crucial for policy credibility.
- RiverFront believes political pressure applied to the Fed will cause rates to remain higher for longer.

07.29.25

Fed To Remain Independent

Political Pressure Likely to Backfire

Much ink has been spilled over the years regarding the importance to markets of the Federal Reserve (Fed) maintaining its 'independence' from political pressure. Throughout the century-plus history of the Fed, we find times that it has acted both independently and otherwise. Recently, this issue has commanded attention due to President Trump voicing his displeasure with the level of interest rates, trying to nudge the Fed to lower rates.

While President Trump might not be the first President to try to influence the actions of the Fed, he is the first since Richard Nixon cajoled Fed Chair Arthur Burns to lower rates in the 1970s. Nixon wanted to boost government spending and cut interest rates simultaneously despite rapidly rising oil prices. The result of the Nixon and Burns experiment was runaway inflation that subsequent Chair Paul Volker ultimately reined in. Since the Volker era, the Fed has sought to delineate a clear division between monetary policy and politics. This is important to the Fed, because without it, monetary policy decisions will not carry the same degree of creditability.

We do not believe that today's pressure will result in runaway inflation, nor will it be effective in influencing the Fed. We reached this conclusion by analyzing various perspectives of the President, the Fed, and bond market investors, as detailed below. This analysis has helped solidify **our belief that the Fed will retain its' independence, and political pressure will cause rates to come down slower, not faster.** Given this dynamic, as well as our sanguine view on the economy, we remain underweight fixed income.

President Trump's View: Fed Should be Fiscal Policy Dependent

The Trump Administration just passed the "One Big Beautiful Bill" which made the 2017 tax cuts permanent, instead of expiring at the end of 2025. It has often been said, "nothing in life is free." This rings true with the "One Big Beautiful Bill" as it is projected to increase the budget deficit over the next 10 years by \$3.4 trillion, according to the Congressional Budget Office. Hence, the US Treasury will have to finance the deficit by issuing debt, as the administration's tariff policy will only cover a small portion. However, at current levels the task is almost untenable with the effective fed funds rate at 4.33%, as the interest on the projected \$3.4 trillion increase would amount to roughly \$147.2 billion annually.

President Trump wants to ease the government's financial burden by having the Fed lower rates, preferably to as low as one percent. This approach is like the financial repression era after WWII that is highlighted in the chart on the next page. In 1942, the Fed entered an agreement with the US Treasury to keep short-term interest rates at three-eighths of a percent until 1951. Additionally, 10-year Treasury yields were capped at 2.50%. The agreement

between the Fed and US Treasury allowed the US to inflate its way out of debt by keeping real yields artificially low, thus lowering the overall percentage of debt relative to GDP. While the Trump administration has not explicitly stated that financial repression is part of its playbook, we believe the President is attempting to revive fiscal and monetary policies of the 1940s to tackle the government over spending.



Source: RiverFront; Federal Reserve; data monthly, as of July 2025. Chart left shown for illustrative purposes only. Past performance is no indication of future results.

The Fed View: Monetary Policy Independent but Data Dependent

The Fed has a dual mandate of full employment and price stability. So, let us walk through the Fed's view. Currently, the unemployment rate sits at 4.10% which is well below the Fed's forecast of 4.50%. While the federal government layoffs/buyouts will not figure into the unemployment rate until October, they will only add a couple of tenths of a percentage point, leaving the labor market below the Fed's year-end forecast. From this vantage point, the Fed feels that the labor market is in decent shape, despite a few fissures that appeared in the June non-farm payroll report, in our opinion. These fissures include slower private payrolls, state and local government hiring dominance, and lower immigration shrinking the workforce.

To analyze the Fed's objective of price stability, we now pivot to Core PCE (Personal Consumption Expenditures, ex-food, and energy). The Cleveland Inflation 'Nowcast' is currently forecasting 0.22% month-over-month changes for June and July. If the Nowcast forecast is accurate, and we hold the monthly changes at that level for the remainder of the year, Core PCE will end the year at 2.8%. However, if we use the latest actual data, May's 0.18% month-over-month change, for the remainder of the year, Core PCE will end the year around 2.5%. In neither case will the Fed hit its 2% target by year-end. However, under one scenario progress is being made and under the other there is none. We believe this level of uncertainty in the inflation forecast is exactly why the Fed has been reluctant to lower interest rates without knowing the full impact of tariffs. Unfortunately for the Trump administration, with tariff negotiations dragging on beyond the previously set July 9th deadline, the Fed's window for cutting rates will extend as it awaits clarity from the data, in our opinion.

Bond Market View: Fed Independence Equals Creditability

Investors view the Fed as an independent entity, and when the Fed's status is perceived differently bond buyers tend to push yields higher. Bond buyers place a premium on the Fed acting independently from the government, thus ensuring that monetary decisions are made solely for the purpose of price stability. As mentioned previously, the market wants an unbiased arbiter of monetary policy given the interconnectivity of currencies and interest rates globally due to the US having the

reserve currency of the world. A Fed that loses its independence is a central bank that loses its creditability in the eyes of investors.

This was recently highlighted by speculation around the President possibly firing Chairman Powell, causing yields to rise before the rumor was debunked by Trump himself. While the ability of the President to remove a Fed Chairman is questionable given a May 2025 ruling suggesting the Supreme Court would act to protect the Fed as a quasi-private entity, speculation around this risk is likely to keep bond yields elevated. **Given these pressures, we remain underweight interest rate sensitivity relative to our benchmarks in our balanced asset allocation portfolios.**

RiverFront's View: Ironically, Political Pressure Likely to Keep Rates Higher for Longer...at Least Until the Next Chair

President Trump's public criticism of Chairman Powell will backfire, in our opinion. Regardless of the FOMC members' political affiliation, we believe members respect the sanctity of the central bank's independence, and the creditability that it affords their decision-making process. For this reason, if the Trump Administration nominates a chair-in-waiting to try to sway the thinking of members, there is no guarantee that their votes will align with the President's wishes.

Furthermore, we believe the more the President voices his displeasure on rates, the slower the Fed will move because it wants to avoid the appearance of politics in its rate decisions. Hence, if the President just lets the data guide the committee, ironically, we think rates could fall sooner. Throughout 2025, we have been saying that the Fed would be 'slower to lower', going as far as stating that it would not cut rates at all this year. However, if Core PCE trends closer towards 2.5% instead of its current 2.8% trajectory after tariff negotiations are concluded, we believe that the Fed will cut once before year-end.

It is possible that all this noise is more of a signal sent by the Administration that, rather than act on Powell in '25, the next Chair (nominations could happen as early as September) is likely to be more dovish. A more dovish Fed would likely help stocks, but if the move were seen as political rather than data driven, longer maturity bond yields could well stay elevated, in our opinion.

Risk Discussion: All investments in securities, including the strategies discussed above, include a risk of loss of principal (invested amount) and any profits that have not been realized. Markets fluctuate substantially over time and have experienced increased volatility in recent years due to global and domestic economic events. Performance of any investment is not guaranteed. In a rising interest rate environment, the value of fixed-income securities generally declines. Diversification does not guarantee a profit or protect against a loss. Investments in international and emerging markets securities include exposure to risks such as currency fluctuations, foreign taxes and regulations, and the potential for illiquid markets and political instability. Please see the end of this publication for more disclosures.

Important Disclosure Information

The comments above refer generally to financial markets and not RiverFront portfolios or any related performance. Opinions expressed are current as of the date shown and are subject to change. Past performance is not indicative of future results and diversification does not ensure a profit or protect against loss. All investments carry some level of risk, including loss of principal. An investment cannot be made directly in an index.

Information or data shown or used in this material was received from sources believed to be reliable, but accuracy is not guaranteed.

This report does not provide recipients with information or advice that is sufficient on which to base an investment decision. This report does not take into account the specific investment objectives, financial situation or need of any particular client and may not be suitable for all types of investors. Recipients should consider the contents of this report as a single factor in making an investment decision. Additional fundamental and other analyses would be required to make an investment decision about any individual security identified in this report.

Chartered Financial Analyst is a professional designation given by the CFA Institute (formerly AIMR) that measures the competence and integrity of financial analysts. Candidates are required to pass three levels of exams covering areas such as accounting, economics, ethics, money management and security analysis. Four years of investment/financial career experience are required before one can become a CFA charterholder. Enrollees in the program must hold a bachelor's degree.

All charts shown for illustrative purposes only. Technical analysis is based on the study of historical price movements and past trend patterns. There are no assurances that movements or trends can or will be duplicated in the future.

Stocks represent partial ownership of a corporation. If the corporation does well, its value increases, and investors share in the appreciation. However, if it goes bankrupt, or performs poorly, investors can lose their entire initial investment (i.e., the stock price can go to zero). Bonds represent a loan made by an investor to a corporation or government. As such, the investor gets a guaranteed interest rate for a specific period of time and expects to get their original investment back at the end of that time period, along with the interest earned. Investment risk is repayment of the principal (amount invested). In the event of a bankruptcy or other corporate disruption, bonds are senior to stocks. Investors should be aware of these differences prior to investing.

In general, the bond market is volatile, and fixed income securities carry interest rate risk. (As interest rates rise, bond prices usually fall, and vice versa). This effect is usually more pronounced for longer-term securities). Fixed income securities also carry inflation risk, liquidity risk, call risk and credit and default risks for both issuers and counterparties. Lower-quality fixed income securities involve greater risk of default or price changes due to potential changes in the credit quality of the issuer. Foreign investments involve greater risks than U.S. investments, and can decline significantly in response to adverse issuer, political, regulatory, market, and economic risks. Any fixed-income security sold or redeemed prior to maturity may be subject to loss.

Investing in foreign companies poses additional risks since political and economic events unique to a country or region may affect those markets and their issuers. In addition to such general international risks, the portfolio may also be exposed to currency fluctuation risks and emerging markets risks as described below.

Changes in the value of foreign currencies compared to the U.S. dollar may affect (positively or negatively) the value of the portfolio's investments. Such currency movements may occur separately from, and/or in response to, events that do not otherwise affect the value of the security in the issuer's home country. Also, the value of the portfolio may be influenced by currency exchange control regulations. The currencies of emerging market countries may experience significant declines against the U.S. dollar, and devaluation may occur subsequent to investments in these currencies by the portfolio.

Foreign investments, especially investments in emerging markets, can be riskier and more volatile than investments in the U.S. and are considered speculative and subject to heightened risks in addition to the general risks of investing in non-U.S. securities. Also, inflation and rapid fluctuations in inflation rates have had, and may continue to have, negative effects on the economies and securities markets of certain emerging market countries.

In a rising interest rate environment, the value of fixed-income securities generally declines.

Index Definitions:

Gross domestic product (GDP) is a monetary measure of the market value of all final goods and services produced in a period (quarterly or yearly) of time.

Definitions:

Inflation is a gradual loss of purchasing power, reflected in a broad rise in prices for goods and services over time.

A recession is a significant, widespread, and prolonged downturn in economic activity. A common rule of thumb is that two consecutive quarters of negative gross domestic product (GDP) growth indicate a recession. However, more complex formulas are also used to determine recessions.

Personal consumption expenditures (PCE), also known as consumer spending, is a measure of the spending on goods and services by people of the United States.

The 10-year Treasury bond yield is the interest rate the U.S. government pays to borrow money for a decade, serving as a benchmark for other interest rates and a key indicator of investor sentiment about economic conditions. It matters because it influences borrowing costs, impacts the valuation of financial assets, and signals expectations about inflation and economic growth.

Federal Open Market Committee (FOMC) refers to the branch of the Federal Reserve System (FRS) that determines the direction of monetary policy in the United States by directing open market operations (OMOs). The committee is made up of 12 members, including seven members of the Board of Governors, the president of the Federal Reserve Bank of New York, and four of the remaining 11 Reserve Bank presidents on a rotating basis.

When referring to being "overweight" or "underweight" relative to a market or asset class, RiverFront is referring to our current portfolios' weightings compared to the composite benchmarks for each portfolio. Asset class weighting discussion refers to our Advantage portfolios.

Interest rate sensitivity is a measure of how much the price of a fixed-income asset will fluctuate as a result of changes in the interest rate environment. Securities that are more sensitive have greater price fluctuations than those with less sensitivity. This type of sensitivity must be taken into account when selecting a bond or other fixed-income instrument the investor may sell in the secondary market. Interest rate sensitivity affects buying as well as selling.

WEEKLY VIEW

RiverFront Investment Group, LLC ("RiverFront"), is a registered investment adviser with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Registration as an investment adviser does not imply any level of skill or expertise. Any discussion of specific securities is provided for informational purposes only and should not be deemed as investment advice or a recommendation to buy or sell any individual security mentioned. RiverFront is affiliated with Robert W. Baird & Co. Incorporated ("Baird"), member FINRA/SIPC, from its minority ownership interest in RiverFront. RiverFront is owned primarily by its employees through RiverFront Investment Holding Group, LLC, the holding company for RiverFront. Baird Financial Corporation (BFC) is a minority owner of RiverFront Investment Holding Group, LLC and therefore an indirect owner of RiverFront. BFC is the parent company of Robert W. Baird & Co. Incorporated, a registered broker/dealer and investment adviser.

To review other risks and more information about RiverFront, please visit the website at riverfrontig.com and the Form ADV, Part 2A. Copyright ©2025 RiverFront Investment Group. All Rights Reserved ID 4691970